

NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

PRICING TO HABITS AND THE LAW OF ONE PRICE

Morten Ravn
Stephanie Schmitt-Grohe
Martin Uribe

Working Paper 12731
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w12731>

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
December 2006

The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

© 2006 by Morten Ravn, Stephanie Schmitt-Grohe, and Martin Uribe. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Pricing to Habits and the Law of One Price
Morten Ravn, Stephanie Schmitt-Grohe, and Martin Uribe
NBER Working Paper No. 12731
December 2006
JEL No. E3,F4

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a novel international transmission mechanism based on the assumption of deep habits. The term deep habits stands for a preference specification according to which consumers form habits on a good-by-good basis. Under deep habits, firms face more elastic demand functions in markets where nonhabitual demand is high relative to habitual demand, creating an incentive to price discriminate. We refer to this type of price discrimination as pricing to habits. In the presence of pricing to habits, innovations to domestic aggregate demand induce a decline in markups in the domestic country but not abroad, leading to a departure from the law of one price. In this way, the proposed pricing-to-habit mechanism can explain the observation that prices of the same good across countries, expressed in the same currency, vary over the business cycle. Furthermore, it can account for the empirical fact that in response to a positive domestic demand shock, such as an increase in government spending, the real exchange rate depreciates, domestic consumption expands, and the trade balance deteriorates.

Morten Ravn
Department of Economics
European University Institute
Villa San Paolo
Via della Piazzuola 43
50133 Florence
Italy
morten.ravn@eui.eu

Martin Uribe
Department of Economics
Duke University
Durham, NC 27708-0097
and NBER
uribe@duke.edu

Stephanie Schmitt-Grohe
Department of Economics
Duke University
P.O. Box 90097
Durham, NC 27708
and NBER
grohe@duke.edu

1 Introduction

A long-standing puzzle in open economy macroeconomics is the fact that prices of the same good across countries, expressed in the same currency, not only differ but differ widely and persistently over the business cycle. The observed deviations from the law of one price hold even for individual goods that are actively traded internationally and even in the absence of tariffs or quotas (see Pinelopi Goldberg and Michael Knetter, 1997, and Mario Crucini and Mototsugu Shintani, 2006).

In this paper, we propose a novel approach to explaining the observed violations in the law of one price. We embed the deep habit mechanism due to Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe (2006a) into a two-country dynamic general equilibrium model. We show that when habits are formed at the level of individual goods, as opposed to at the level of aggregate consumption goods, firms have an incentive to lower markups in markets where aggregate demand is strong and to raise markups in markets where demand is weak. In equilibrium, firms price discriminate across markets exhibiting different ratios of current to habitual demand. We refer to this type of price discrimination as pricing to habits. Our pricing-to-habit formulation is in the tradition of models displaying pricing to market due to customer switching costs, as in Kenneth Froot and Paul Klemperer (1989).

Under pricing to habits, an expansionary domestic demand shock induces a decline in prices in the domestic country but not abroad, leading to a departure from the law of one price. In addition, the pricing-to-habit mechanism gives rise to two important predictions. Namely, in response to a positive domestic demand shock the real exchange rate, defined as the ratio of foreign to domestic CPI indices expressed in the same currency, depreciates and private consumption expands. These predictions of the pricing-to-habit model are consistent with recent empirical evidence on the effects of government expenditure shocks on international relative prices and aggregate demand (e.g., Tommaso Monacelli and Roberto Perotti, 2006).

2 A Two-Country Model With Deep Habits

The economy consists of two countries, the home country and the foreign country. Each country specializes in the production of a set of differentiated goods. We denote by a the set of goods produced by the home country and by b the set of goods produced by the foreign country. All goods are internationally traded. To emphasize the transmission mechanism invoked by deep habits, we abstract from a number of important frictions that are common elements of the related literature, such as sticky prices and wages, distribution costs, non-traded goods, rule-of-thumb consumers, nonseparabilities of preferences across consumption and leisure, incomplete international asset markets, tariffs, quotas, and other trade barriers. In what follows, we keep the presentation of the model and the characterization of equilibrium concise. A separate technical appendix (Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe, 2006b) contains a detailed derivation.

2.1 Households

We describe the household problem in the domestic economy. The foreign counterpart is a mirror image. The domestic economy is populated by a large number of identical households with preferences defined over consumption of a composite good, x_t , and labor effort, h_t , and described by the utility function $E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\phi \ln(x_t) + (1 - \phi) \ln(1 - h_t)]$, with $\phi \in (0, 1)$. The variable x_t is a composite defined as $x_t = [\omega x_{a,t}^{c \ 1-1/\xi} + (1 - \omega) x_{b,t}^{c \ 1-1/\xi}]^{1/(1-1/\xi)}$, where $\omega \in (0, 1)$ and $\xi > 0$. The variable $x_{a,t}^c$ denotes a habit-adjusted composite consumption good of varieties of goods of type a . The variable $x_{b,t}^c$ denotes a habit-adjusted composite consumption good of varieties of goods of type b . Following Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe (2006a), we introduce deep habits. Specifically, we assume that habits form at the level of each individual variety of goods instead of at the level of the aggregate consumption good. Habits are external to the individual household (i.e., we model catching up with the Joneses good-by-good). Formally, $x_{a,t}^c$ is given by $x_{a,t}^c = \left[\int_0^1 (c_{i,a,t} - \theta s_{i,a,t-1}^c)^{1-1/\eta} \right]^{1/(1-1/\eta)}$. Here $c_{i,a,t}$

denotes consumption of variety i of goods belonging to the set a in period t . The variable $s_{i,a,t}^c$ denotes the stock of external habit in consumption of variety i of good a . This habit stock is assumed to evolve according to the following law of motion $s_{i,a,t}^c = \rho s_{i,a,t-1}^c + (1 - \rho)\tilde{c}_{i,a,t}$, where $\tilde{c}_{i,a,t}$ denotes the average per capita consumption of variety i of good a in the domestic country; that is, $\tilde{c}_{i,a,t}$ is the integral of $c_{i,a,t}$ over all domestic households. The parameter $\theta \in [0, 1)$ measures the intensity of deep external habits. When θ is equal to zero, preferences display no deep habit formation. The parameter $\eta > 0$ represents the intratemporal elasticity of substitution across varieties, and the parameter $1 - \rho \in (0, 1]$ denotes the rate at which the stock of external habits depreciates over time.

Similarly, $x_{b,t}^c$ is given by $x_{b,t}^c = \left[\int_0^1 (c_{i,b,t} - \theta s_{i,b,t-1}^c)^{1-\eta} dj \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$, with $s_{i,b,t}^c = \rho s_{i,b,t-1}^c + (1 - \rho)\tilde{c}_{i,b,t}$. For simplicity, we assume that the deep-habit parameter θ is common across varieties and types of goods.

It can be shown that this preference structure implies the following demand functions for individual varieties of goods $c_{i,a,t} = \left(\frac{P_{i,a,t}}{P_{a,t}} \right)^{-\eta} x_{a,t}^c + \theta s_{i,a,t-1}^c$ and $c_{i,b,t} = \left(\frac{P_{i,b,t}}{P_{b,t}} \right)^{-\eta} x_{b,t}^c + \theta s_{i,b,t-1}^c$, where $P_{a,t}$ and $P_{b,t}$ are defined by $P_{a,t} = \left[\int_0^1 (P_{j,a,t})^{1-\eta} dj \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$ and $P_{b,t} = \left[\int_0^1 (P_{j,b,t})^{1-\eta} dj \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$, respectively. Note that the demand for each variety of good a , say, is decreasing in its relative price, $P_{i,a,t}/P_{a,t}$, increasing in the level of habit-adjusted consumption of the composite good of type a , $x_{a,t}^c$, and increasing in the stock of habit of the variety in question $s_{i,a,t-1}^c$.

2.2 The Government

We assume that real government expenditures, denoted by g_t , are exogenous and stochastic and follow a univariate first-order autoregressive process of the form $\ln(g_t/\bar{g}) = \rho_g \ln(g_{t-1}/\bar{g}) + \epsilon_t^g$, where the innovation ϵ_t^g distributes i.i.d. with mean zero and standard deviation σ_{ϵ^g} . Public spending is financed by lump-sum taxation. The government aggregates individual varieties of domestic and foreign goods to produce two intermediate composite goods denoted $x_{a,t}^g$ and $x_{b,t}^g$, using the same aggregator function as the private sector. Like households, the government forms habits on consumption of individual varieties of goods.

This assumption is important for understanding the transmission of government purchases shocks in the context of our model. It is less important in driving the transmission of other types of demand disturbances, such as preference shocks. We motivate the deep-habit formulation in public spending by assuming that private households value public goods in a way that is separable from private consumption and leisure and that households derive habits from consumption of government-provided goods. Alternatively, one can assume that the government forms procurement relationships that create a tendency for it to favor transactions with sellers that supplied public goods in the past. We treat government habits as external. Conceivably, government habits could be treated as internal to the government even if they are external to their beneficiaries, namely households. This alternative is, however, less tractable, and is therefore not pursued here.

The public demand functions for individual varieties of goods a and b are of the form $g_{i,a,t} = \left(\frac{P_{i,a,t}}{P_{a,t}}\right)^{-\eta} x_{a,t}^g + \theta s_{i,a,t-1}^g$ and $g_{i,b,t} = \left(\frac{P_{i,b,t}}{P_{b,t}}\right)^{-\eta} x_{b,t}^g + \theta s_{i,b,t-1}^g$.

2.3 Firms

Each individual variety of goods (of type a or b) is assumed to be produced by a monopolist. Each good $i \in (0, 1)$ is manufactured using labor as the sole input with a linear production technology, $y_{i,a,t} = h_{i,a,t}$, where $y_{i,a,t}$ denotes output of variety i of good a in period t and $h_{i,a,t}$ denotes labor input in producing variety i of good a .

The demand function faced by the producer of variety i of good a has a domestic and a foreign component. The domestic component is given by

$$d_{i,a,t} = \left(\frac{P_{i,a,t}}{P_{a,t}}\right)^{-\eta} x_{a,t} + \theta s_{i,a,t-1},$$

and the foreign component is given by

$$d_{i,a,t}^* = \left(\frac{P_{i,a,t}^*}{P_{a,t}^*}\right)^{-\eta} x_{a,t}^* + \theta s_{i,a,t-1}^*,$$

where $d_{i,a,t} \equiv c_{i,a,t} + g_{i,a,t}$ and $d_{i,a,t}^* \equiv c_{i,a,t}^* + g_{i,a,t}^*$ denote, respectively, the domestic and foreign aggregate demands for variety i of good a in period t . The variables $s_{i,a,t} \equiv s_{i,a,t}^c + s_{i,a,t}^g$ and $s_{i,a,t}^* \equiv s_{i,a,t}^{c*} + s_{i,a,t}^{g*}$ denote, respectively, the domestic and foreign aggregate stocks of habit for variety i of good a . And the variables $x_{a,t} = x_{a,t}^c + x_{a,t}^g$ and $x_{a,t}^* = x_{a,t}^{c*} + x_{a,t}^{g*}$ denote, respectively, domestic and foreign measures of habit-adjusted aggregate demands for the composite good a in period t .

A number of important implications for the model's predictions regarding deviations from the law of one price are evident from inspection of the above demand functions. First, the demand function for an individual variety of goods is the sum of a price-elastic component and a price inelastic component. The price elastic component has price elasticity η and is proportional to a measure of current aggregate demand ($x_{a,t}$ in the domestic market and $x_{a,t}^*$ in the foreign market). The price inelastic term is purely habitual in nature. It follows that the price elasticity of demand is a weighted average of η and 0, with the weight on η given by the relative importance of the price-elastic, nonhabitual demand component in total demand. An increase in aggregate demand enlarges the importance of the price elastic component of demand increasing the price elasticity. In other words, the price elasticity of demand is procyclical. Second, the fact that the price elasticity is procyclical opens the possibility for markups to move countercyclically in equilibrium. Third, because the price elasticity of demand can in principle be different in the domestic and the foreign markets, it follows that firms have an incentive to charge different markups (via price discrimination) domestically and abroad. We refer to this incentive for price discrimination as 'pricing to habits' as it originates from the presence of a habitual demand for individual varieties of goods. More importantly, pricing to habits gives rise to deviations from the law of one price over the business cycle at the level of individual goods traded across borders. Finally, because firms understand that the stock of habit is a weighted average of all past sales, their profit-maximization problem is dynamic in nature. Thus, customer-market and brand-switching cost considerations in the spirit of Edmund Phelps and Sidney Winter (1970) and Froot and

Klemperer (1989) will endogenously emerge in the pricing behavior of firms, affecting the size and persistence of deviations from the law of one price.

3 Deviations from the Law of One Price

In a symmetric equilibrium, all firms producing varieties of good a for the domestic market will charge the same price. That is, $P_{i,a,t} = P_{a,t}$ for all i . Similarly, all firms producing varieties of good a for the foreign market will charge the same price, or $P_{i,a,t}^* = P_{a,t}^*$ for all i . The same will be true for foreign firms producing good b , that is, $P_{i,b,t} = P_{b,t}$ and $P_{i,b,t}^* = P_{b,t}^*$ for all i .

We now examine a few equilibrium conditions that are insightful for communicating the potential of the pricing-to-habit theory to predict persistent and volatile deviations from the law of one price in international goods markets. For the complete set of equilibrium conditions, see Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe (2006b). To simplify the exposition, we consider the case in which all stocks of habit depreciate completely after one period ($\rho = 0$).

In equilibrium, the markup of price over marginal cost charged on varieties of good a in the domestic market, which we denote by $\mu_{a,t}$, must satisfy

$$\mu_{a,t} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\eta (1 - \theta d_{a,t-1}/d_{a,t})} + \theta \Omega_{a,t} \right]^{-1},$$

where $\Omega_{a,t}$ is the present discounted value of a sale in the domestic market in period $t+1$. Note that in the absence of deep habits ($\theta = 0$), the markup is constant and equal to $1/(1 - 1/\eta)$. Under deep habits, the markup falls in response to expansions in aggregate demand for good a , $d_{a,t}/d_{a,t-1}$. This is the price elasticity effect, originating from the fact that when demand increases, the relative importance of the price-inelastic (or habitual) component of demand falls. In addition, the markup is decreasing in the present discounted value of a future sale, $\Omega_{a,t}$. This is the intertemporal effect of deep habits explained in detail in Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe (2006a). When the present value of a future sale increases, it pays for the

firm to invest in market share today by lowering current markups.

In the foreign market for good a , domestic firms charge a markup $\mu_{a,t}^*$ given by

$$\mu_{a,t}^* = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\eta (1 - \theta d_{a,t-1}^*/d_{a,t}^*)} + \theta \Omega_{a,t}^* \right]^{-1}.$$

Comparing the expressions for the domestic and foreign markups for good a conveys intuition for the central result of this paper, namely, that pricing to habits can lead to deviations from the law of one price over the business cycle. In effect, any disturbance that produces an asymmetric response in aggregate demand across countries (i.e., any disturbance that produces variations in $d_{a,t-1}/d_{a,t}$ relative to $d_{a,t-1}^*/d_{a,t}^*$) will induce divergence in prices for the same good across countries. In the same way, shocks that affect the present value of future sales asymmetrically across countries will bring about deviations in the law of one price for individual goods.

Given that the marginal cost of producing variety i of good a is the same regardless of whether the good is sold in the domestic or the foreign market, the ratio of foreign to domestic markups for a particular good equals the real exchange rate for that particular good. Formally, letting $e_{a,t} \equiv P_{a,t}^*/P_{a,t}$ denote the real exchange rate for goods of type a , we have that $e_{a,t} = \frac{\mu_{a,t}^*}{\mu_{a,t}}$. It follows from this expression that, to the extent that it can predict cyclical differences in markups across countries, the pricing-to-habit theory will succeed in generating cyclical deviations from the law of one price.

4 International Adjustment to Demand Shocks

To illustrate the role of the pricing-to-habit mechanism in propagating aggregate shocks, we study the equilibrium response of our model economy to an innovation in domestic government purchases. The reason for our focus on the effects of demand shocks is twofold. First, a fast-growing empirical literature argues that demand shocks are a major source of business-cycle fluctuations in the United States and Europe (see Jordi Galí and Pau Rabanal, 2004).

Second, recent empirical work has estimated the international transmission of a particular identified demand shock, namely innovations in government purchases (see Monacelli and Perotti, 2006, and references cited therein), providing a benchmark to gauge the qualitative success of our model.


We calibrate the model as follows: $\beta = 0.99$, $\phi = 0.15$, $\omega = 0.75$, $\xi = 1.5$, $\eta = 6$, $\theta = 0.6$, $\rho = 0.85$, $\bar{g} = 0.0622$, and $\rho_g = 0.87$. We note that if the habit parameter θ is set close to unity, equilibria in which expectations are self fulfilling may arise. We provide more discussion on calibration in the expanded version of this paper (Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe, 2006b).

Figure 1 shows impulse response functions to a one-percent innovation in domestic government spending. The model displays significant pricing to habits. In effect, as shown in the top two panels of the figure, domestic markups on both domestically and foreign produced goods ($\mu_{a,t}$ and $\mu_{b,t}$) fall, whereas foreign markups on both goods ($\mu_{a,t}^*$ and $\mu_{b,t}^*$) increase. The difference in markup movements across borders is due to the fact that aggregate demand in the home country, where the innovation in public spending originates, increases whereas aggregate demand in the foreign country contracts slightly.

The asymmetric response of markups for the same type of good across countries together with the fact that all goods of the same variety are produced at the same marginal cost, independently of their final destination, implies that prices in the domestic country fall relative to prices abroad. That is, the government spending shock triggers deviations from the law of one price across all goods. The panels in the second row of Figure 1 show that the good-specific real exchange rates ($e_{a,t} \equiv P_{a,t}^*/P_{a,t}$ and $e_{b,t} \equiv P_{b,t}^*/P_{b,t}$) depreciate, indicating that both type of goods become relatively cheaper domestically than abroad.

The generalized fall in domestic prices (of both importable and exportable goods) leads to a depreciation of the CPI real exchange rate denoted $e_t \equiv P_t^*/P_t$, where $P_t \equiv \gamma P_{a,t} + (1-\gamma)P_{b,t}$ and $P_t^* \equiv (1-\gamma)P_{a,t}^* + \gamma P_{b,t}^*$ denote, respectively, the domestic and foreign consumer price indices, and where γ denotes the steady-state share of domestic absorption of good a in

Figure 1: Impulse Response To A One-Percent Innovation in Domestic Government Purchases



Note: All responses are expressed in percent deviations from steady state with the exception of the trade-balance-to-GDP ratio, which is expressed in level deviations from steady state.

total domestic absorption. (See Ravn, Schmitt-Grohé, and Uribe, 2006b, for more detail on the construction of consumer price indices under deep habits.) The left panel in the third row of Figure 1 shows that the real exchange rate depreciates (i.e., e_t increases), making consumption in the domestic country relatively less expensive than in the foreign country.

The predicted real depreciation in response to an expansion in domestic government spending is consistent with recent empirical evidence reported in Monacelli and Perotti (2006). These authors use a structural VAR model to identify innovations to government spending. Using data from four developed countries (the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, and Australia) since the breakdown of Bretton Woods, Monacelli and Perotti find that an exogenous increase in government spending leads to a protracted depreciation of the real exchange rate.

The domestic real wage rate increases with the expansion in government spending, as shown with a solid line in the right panel of the third row of Figure 1. The reason for this increase in wages is as follows: An increase in (unproductive) government spending makes consumers poorer, creating a tendency for agents to consume less and work more. At the same time, the implied decline in domestic markups, which has the same effect on the demand for labor as an increase in total factor productivity, causes the demand for labor to expand in the domestic country. This increase in the demand for labor is sufficiently strong to cause the real wage to increase following the innovation in government spending.

In turn, the rise in wages induces workers to substitute consumption for leisure. This substitution effect dominates the negative wealth effect associated with higher government spending, resulting in an increase in consumption in equilibrium, as shown with a solid line in the bottom left panel of Figure 1. The increase in consumption predicted by the model is consistent with the empirical evidence presented in the studies by Galí et al. (forthcoming) and Monacelli and Perotti (2006).

The implied expansion in aggregate domestic absorption triggered by the increase in public spending causes a deterioration in the trade balance. As shown in the bottom right

panel of Figure 1, the trade-balance-to-GDP ratio is little changed on impact, but then falls and remains below trend throughout the transition. Again, this prediction of the pricing-to-habits model is in line with the empirical regularities documented in Monacelli and Perotti (2006).

To highlight the role of pricing to habits in shaping the international transmission of government spending shocks, we compare the dynamics of the deep habit model with those of a model in which habits are formed at the level of the composite goods a and b , as opposed to at the level of each individual variety of goods. We refer to this standard habit-formation formulation as superficial habits. Under superficial habits, the problem of the firm ceases to be dynamic, as the demand for each individual variety of good does no longer depend on past consumption of that variety. As a result, firms face isoelastic demand functions domestically and abroad. It follows that firms set constant markups, which implies the absence of variations in deviations from the law of one price on a good-by-good basis over the business cycle. In particular, the good-specific real exchange rates $e_{a,t} \equiv P_{a,t}^*/P_{a,t}$ and $e_{b,t} \equiv P_{b,t}^*/P_{b,t}$ are constant over time when habits take the standard superficial form.

Rows three and four of Figure 1 plot with dashed lines the response of the real exchange rate, wages, consumption, and the trade-balance-to-gdp ratio under superficial habits. There are several important differences between the predictions of the models with deep and superficial habits. Specifically, the superficial-habit model has the counterfactual implications that the real exchange rate appreciates and that consumption falls following an expansionary government spending shock.¹ We conclude that in the context of our model, deep habits are essential in generating responses in relative prices and aggregate absorption that are

¹The reason why the real exchange rate appreciates in the absence of deep habits has to do with home bias ($\omega > 0.5$). An increase in domestic absorption triggers a relatively higher increase in the demand for home goods (good a). The required expenditure switch is brought about through an increase in the relative price of good a . The rise in the relative price of good a is also responsible for a mild increase in the real wage rate measured in units of total consumption. Under superficial habits and in the absence of home bias ($\omega = 0.5$), neither the real exchange rate nor the real wage rate respond to innovations in government spending. Consumption falls because of the negative wealth effect associated with the increase in resources absorbed by the domestic government. In the deep habit model, this negative wealth effect is also present, but is dominated by a substitution effect stemming from elevated real wages.

qualitatively in line with the available empirical evidence.

References

- Crucini, Mario J. and Mototsugu Shintani, “Persistence in Law-of-One-Price Deviations: Evidence from Micro-data,” Vanderbilt University wp No. 06-W16, July 2006.
- Froot, Kenneth A. and Paul D. Klemperer, “Exchange Rate Pass-Through When Market Share Matters,” *American Economic Review* 79, September 1989, 637-654.
- Galí, Jordi, David López-Salido, and Javier Vallés, “Understanding the Effects of Government Spending on Consumption,” *Journal of the European Economic Association*, forthcoming.
- Galí, Jordi, and Pau Rabanal, “Technology Shocks and Aggregate Fluctuations: How Well Does the RBC Model Fit Postwar U.S. Data?,” *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 2004, 225-288.
- Goldberg, Pinelopi and Michael Knetter, “Goods Prices and Exchange Rates: What Have We Learned?,” *Journal of Economic Literature* 35, September 1997, 1243-1272.
- Monacelli, Tommaso and Roberto Perotti, “Fiscal Policy, the Trade Balance, and the Real Exchange Rate: Implications for International Risk Sharing,” manuscript, IGIER, Università Bocconi, June 2006.
- Phelps, Edmund S. and Sidney G. Winter, “Optimal Price Policy under Atomistic Competition,” in Edmund S. Phelps, Ed., *Microeconomic Foundations of Employment and Inflation Theory*, New York, NY: W.W. Norton, 1970.
- Ravn, Morten O., Stephanie Schmitt-Grohé, and Martín Uribe, “Deep Habits,” *Review of Economic Studies* 73, January 2006a, 195-218.
- Ravn, Morten O., Stephanie Schmitt-Grohé, and Martín Uribe, “Pricing to Habits and the Law of One Price: Appendix,” manuscript, Duke University, 2006b.